



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,044	12/11/2001	Hans Lundqvist	JM-007 CON	9365	
75	590 01/25/2005		EXAM	INER	
NICOLA A. PISANO			HO, UYEN T		
-	ARD, HAMILTON AN IINO REAL, SUITE 2		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130			3731		

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4)			
	10/016,044	LUNDQVIST, HANS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No.	ovember 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	-			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o,					

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 11/12/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the amendment did not expand the scope of the prior art search necessary to examine. This is found persuasive only if the methods are obvious or inherently carried out as the stent of group I being formed or used. At this point examiner considers the methods group are obvious or inherently carried out as the stent of group I being formed or used. Such that an art rejection of Group I can be used to make 102 or 103 rejection of the methods group. And Group II and III are allowed only when Group I is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim1-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mody et al. (6,638,924). Mody et al. disclose a therapeutic material including stable atomic element, 157Gd, 155Gd, Sm, 133Cd for neutron capture therapy (col. 3, lines 1-25) and the therapeutic material can be coated on or impregnated into a stent. A metallic wire mesh stent is well known in the art and procedures known in the art comprising coating, impregnated or incorporate therapeutic material within stent

surface. It also well known in the art to provide a biocompatible cover layer, release control layer or accelerator to control the release of the therapeutic material.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the therapeutic material of Mody et al. into known stent such as metal wire mesh stent. For the method of making and using the stent as claimed are meet as the suggestion of Mody et al. is carried out.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 4. applicant's disclosure.

Liprie (5,575,749) disclose a wire for delivering high dose radioactive source.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731

January 24, 2005